

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/894,327	WONG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Viet Vu	2154	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** on Appeal

 (1) Viet Vu.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) H. Amin.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 May 2006
**Time:** 1:30p
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Art rejection*

Claims discussed:

*independent claims*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Connelly*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed and agreed that the Connelly did not disclose the claimed pattern recognition component in claims 23 and 24. The examiner would do examiner's amendment to amend claims 1, 11, 19 and 20 to include the allowable limitation.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)